

SADDLE CREST HOMES

Final Environmental Impact Report #661

Prepared for
County of Orange

July 2012



SADDLE CREST HOMES

Final Environmental Impact Report #661

Prepared for
County of Orange

July 2012



626 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213.599.4300
www.esassoc.com

Oakland

Orlando

Palm Springs

Petaluma

Portland

Sacramento

San Diego

San Francisco

Santa Cruz

Seattle

Tampa

Woodland Hills

211454

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Saddle Crest Homes Final EIR #661

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Format of the Final EIR.....	1-1
1.2 CEQA Requirements Regarding Comments and Responses.....	1-3
2. General Responses	2-1
2.1 Impacts of the General Plan and Specific Plan Amendments.....	2-1
2.2 The Project's Consistency with the Intent of the General Plan and F/TSP	2-9
2.3 Whether the Proposed Amendments Will Set a Precedent for Future Amendments.....	2-10
2.4 Whether the Specific Plan Protects Against Changes	2-11
2.5 Environmental Advantages of Clustering Homes.....	2-12
2.6 Goals and Objectives of the F/TSP Relating to Rural Character	2-15
2.7 Removal of the Term "Natural" from the UAR District Open Space Regulation.....	2-16
2.8 Growth Inducing Effects.....	2-19
2.9 Oak Trees	2-22
2.10 Wildlife Corridor	2-28
2.11 Non-Clustered Scenario	2-31
2.12 The EIR Was Properly Noticed	2-32
3. Response to Comments	3-1
Letter A1 California Department of Fish and Game June 4, 2012	3-1
Letter A2 Department of Toxic Substances Control May 23, 2012	3-17
Letter A3 Department of Toxic Substances Control May 25, 2012	3-20
Letter A4 Native American Heritage Commission April 30, 2012	3-27
Letter A5 Orange County Fire Authority May 1, 2012	3-33
Letter A6 City of Lake Forest June 1, 2012	3-35
Letter A7 California Department of Transportation May 31, 2012	3-40
Letter A8 Governor's Office of Planning and Research June 1, 2012	3-42
Letter A9 Governor's Office of Planning and Research June 6, 2012	3-45

	<u>Page</u>
3. Response to Comments (cont.)	
Letter O1 Audubon California	
June 4, 2012	3-47
Letter O2 California Cultural Resources Preservation Alliance	
May 07, 2012	3-49
Letter O3 California Cultural Resources Preservation Alliance	
May 7, 2012	3-51
Letter O4 California Native Plant Society	
May 28, 2012	3-55
Letter O5 Canyon Lands Conservation Fund	
June 4, 2012	3-65
Letter O6 Canyon Lands Conservation Fund	
May 1, 2012	3-67
Letter O7 Canyon Lands Conservation Fund	
June 1, 2012	3-72
Letter O8 Foothill Communities Association	
June 1, 2012	3-76
Letter O9 Friends of Harbors, Beaches, and Parks	
May 4, 2012	3-80
Letter O10 Friends of Harbors, Beaches, and Parks	
May 29, 2012	3-86
Letter O11 Rural Canyons Conservation Fund,	
June 4, 2012	3-89
Letter O12 Hamilton Biological (for Rural Canyons Conservation Fund),	
May 31, 2012	3-107
Letter O13 Saddleback Canyons Conservancy,	
June 4, 2012	3-134
Letter O14 Shute Mihaly & Weinberger, LLP (for Saddleback Canyons Conservancy and Rural Canyons Conservation Fund),	
June 4, 2012	3-147
Letter O15 Save our Specific Plan,	
May 30, 2012	3-172
Letter O16 OC Regional Recreational Trails Advisory Committee California	
June 15, 2012	3-186
Letter R1 Sue Baldwin	
May 22, 2012	3-188
Letter R2 Greg Bates	
June 4, 2012	3-190
Letter R3 Michael Brail	
June 4, 2012	3-192
Letter R4 Scott Breeden	
June 4, 2012	3-194
Letter R5 Ray Chandos	
May 23, 2012	3-200
Letter R6 Lisa Enochs	
June 4, 2012	3-202
Letter R7 Steve Enochs	
June 4, 2012	3-204
Letter R8 Len Galasso	
May 24, 2012	3-206

	<u>Page</u>
3. Response to Comments (cont.)	
Letter R9 Lori Galasso	
May 23, 2012	3-209
Letter R10 Yasha Heztel	
June 5, 2012	3-211
Letter R11 Linda Knight	
May 22, 2012	3-214
Letter R12 Josh Kompa	
May 18, 2012	3-216
Letter R13 Mark Levy	
June 4, 2012	3-218
Letter R14 Mark Levy	
June 4, 2012	3-220
Letter R15 Annie Loui	
June 4, 2012	3-222
Letter R16 Jim Mamer	
June 4, 2012	3-224
Letter R17 Laurie Martz	
June 4, 2012	3-227
Letter R18 Judith Mcallister	
June 4, 2012	3-229
Letter R19 Patrick McGriff	
May 22, 2012	3-231
Letter R20 Sina McGriff	
May 22, 2012	3-233
Letter R21 Dennis McHale	
June 4, 2012	3-235
Letter R22 Phil McWilliams	
May 08, 2012	3-238
Letter R23 Robert Wilks (for Raymond and Susan Mills)	
April 18, 2012	3-240
Letter R24 Robert Wilks (for Raymond and Susan Mills)	
May 30, 2012	3-242
Letter R25 Jeanette and Perry Owen	
May 08, 2012	3-250
Letter R26 Christopher Riegle	
June 04, 2012	3-252
Letter R27 Sarah Sarkissian	
June 04, 2012	3-254
Letter R28 Nancy Schicht	
May 24, 2012	3-256
Letter R29 Pamela Schnabel	
June 04, 2012	3-258
Letter R30 Gloria Sefton	
May 07, 2012	3-260
Letter R31 Gloria Sefton	
May 09, 2012	3-262
Letter R32 Gloria Sefton	
May 23, 2012	3-265

	<u>Page</u>
3. Response to Comments (cont.)	
Letter R33 Bonnie Smith June 04, 2012	3-268
Letter R34 Sharon Stancato May 17, 2012	3-270
Letter R35 Christy Stephens May 22, 2012	3-274
Letter R36 Helga Thordarson May 22, 2012	3-276
Letter R37 Sidrid Thordarson May 22, 2012	3-279
Letter R38 Sveinn Thordarson May 22, 2012	3-281
Letter R39 Linda Unger June 4, 2012	3-283
Letter R40 Maureen Voehl June 04, 2012	3-285
Letter R41 Marlene Williams June 04, 2012	3-287
Letter R42 Janet Wilson June 4, 2012	3-289
Letter R43 Katie Dunning June 10, 2012	3-292
Transcript T1 Foothill Trabuco Specific Plan Review Board Meeting April 18, 2012	3-295
Transcript T2 Foothill/Trabuco Specific Plan Review Board Meeting May 9, 2012	3-419
Transcript T3 Regional Recreational Trails Advisory Committee Meeting May 12, 2012	3-599
Transcript T4 Orange County Planning Commission Workshop May 23, 2012	3-623
4. Revisions to the Draft EIR	4-1
5. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.....	5-1

Appendices

- A: Draft General Plan and F/TSP Amendments
- B: Consistency Checklist
- C: Rural Components of Saddle Crest Homes
- D: Examples of Oak Woodland Restoration Research
- E: Baseline Development Standards
- F: Notice of Availability for Draft EIR
- G: Affidavit of Publication
- H: Attachments to Comment Letters

Page**Figures**

2.1	Wildlife Corridor Conservation Area Detail	2-30
2.2	Conceptual Grading Plan – Non-Clustered Scenario.....	2-33
3.1	Jurisdictional Control of Santiago Canyon Road.....	3-102
	REVISED DEIR Figure 2.15 – Cumulative Projects	4-7
	REVISED page 1 of DEIR Appendix E – Cultural Resources Study	4-8
	REVISED page 27 of DEIR Appendix H – Fuel Behavior Analysis	4-9

Tables

2.1	Hydrological/Water Quality Benefits of Proposed Project vs. Non-Clustered Scenario	2-13
2.2	Fire Management Considerations Related to the Proposed Project and Non-Clustered Scenario	2-14
2.3	Approved and Constructed Planning Applications in the F/TSP Area	2-18
3.1	Santiago Canyon Road Turn Pocket Lengths	3-38
3.14-3	REVISED Santiago Canyon Road Segment Analysis Results for Existing Conditions (<i>Highway Capacity Manual Methodology</i>)	3-167
5.1	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program	5-3

Acronyms Used in this Report

AB	Assembly Bill
ADT	average daily trips
BEHAVE	Fire Behavior Analysis
BMPs	best management practices
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFC/IFC	California Fire Code/International Fire Code
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
County	County of Orange
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substances Control
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
FHBP	Friends of Harbors, Beaches and Parks
GMP	Growth Management Plan
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HOA	homeowners association
LOS	level of service
MMRP	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
mph	miles per hour
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission
NCCP	Natural Community Conservation Plans
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOA	Notice of Availability
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
OCFA	Orange County Fire Authority
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
OPR	California Office of Planning and Research
PDF	project design features
PRC	Public Resources Code